UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,947	08/10/2006	Gerhard Kurz	095309.57327US	3065
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 12/22/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			LI, CE LI	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/566,947	KURZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CE LI	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Au     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 03 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	r election requirement. r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/03/2006.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/566,947 Page 2

Art Unit: 3661

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 11, 12, 14, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagele et al (US 5,123,301).

Hagele discloses a method for changing the acceleration mode (Abstract) of a motor vehicle, wherein the acceleration mode can be changed by the driver between a normal acceleration mode and a rapid acceleration mode in which the supply of air and fuel is increased, comprising comprising:

As to claim 11, changing from the normal acceleration mode into the rapid acceleration mode if a pedal-speed threshold value is exceeded when an accelerator pedal is activated (Abstract).

As to claim 12, wherein the changing from the normal acceleration mode into the rapid acceleration mode is independent of a current pedal position of the accelerator pedal between a neutral home position and a maximum activation position (col. 5, lines 62-67).

As to claim 14, wherein acceleration in the rapid acceleration mode takes place with maximum engine drive torque (col. 2, lines 1-2).

As to claim 19, wherein the acceleration mode is changed over from the rapid acceleration mode into the normal acceleration mode when the pedal position is returned in a neutral home position direction (col. 6, lines 12-17).

3. Claims 11, 13, 15-16, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Polly (US 5,113,721).

Polly discloses a method for changing the acceleration mode (col. 5, lines 33-43) of a motor vehicle, wherein the acceleration mode can be changed by the driver between a normal acceleration mode and a rapid acceleration mode in which the supply of air and fuel is increased, comprising:

As to claim 11, changing from the normal acceleration mode into the rapid acceleration mode if a pedal-speed threshold value is exceeded when an accelerator pedal is activated (col. 5, lines 33-43).

As to claim 13, wherein the changing from the normal acceleration mode into the rapid acceleration mode is carried out only when a pedal position of the accelerator pedal exceeds a switch-on threshold value (col. 17, lines 32-36).

As to claim 15, classifying driver type such that a criteria for the changing between the normal acceleration mode and the rapid acceleration mode are determined as a function of the drive type (col. 1, lines 60-64).

As to claim 16, wherein the classifying is carried out automatically by measurable driver reactions (col. 1, lines 57-64).

Application/Control Number: 10/566,947 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

As to claim 20, when the acceleration mode changes, the engine drive torque in accordance with a predefined function of times (figure 3, pedal position is a function of time and engine torque is a function of pedal position).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagele et al (US 5,123,301) in view of Nishida (US 5,215,159)

Hagele fails to teach a surroundings sensor system to sense a relative distance from a vehicle traveling in front of the motor vehicle.

Nishida teaches sensing ambient states using a surroundings sensor system (col. 4, lines 34-35), and when values that are critical for safety are reached (col. 3, line 65), wherein the sensing includes sensing a relative distance (col. 4, lines 34-35), from

a vehicle traveling in front of the motor vehicle (col. 3, line 64), is sensed and a changing from the normal acceleration mode into the rapid acceleration mode (col. 1, lines 56-57) is prevented if the relative distance becomes or is less than a desired safety distance for providing safe driving of a vehicle. Therefore, given the teaching of Nishida, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide Hagele's method with a surroundings sensor system, as taught by Nishida, to prevent car crash and ensure safer driving.

#### **Prior Art Made of Record**

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Kajiwara (US 5,432,509) discloses a method to use distant sensor to measure the distance between vehicles and warn driver to take safe action.
  - b. Flinspach et al. (US 6,199,536) discloses a method to trigger change of the throttle valve position when a speed of a gas pedal of the vehicle is above a threshold value.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CE LI whose telephone number is (571)270-5564. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9AM-5PM, EST, every other Friday Off.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,947 Page 6

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571)272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/CE LI/ Examiner, Art Unit 3661

/Thomas G. Black/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3661